

Committee: Planning Applications

Date: 26th September 2019

Subject: Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Sustainable Communities

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

Recommendation: That Members note the contents of the report.

PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.
- 1.2 The relevant Inspectors decision letters are not attached to this report but can be viewed by following each individual link. Other agenda papers for this meeting can be viewed on the Committee Page of the Council Website via the following link:

[LINK TO COMMITTEE PAGE](#)

DETAILS

Application Numbers: **18/P2896**
Site: 7 Spencer Road, Mitcham CR4 1SG
Development: Erection of single storey rear extension
Recommendation: Refuse (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 15th July 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P3472**
Site: 4 Benedict Road, Mitcham, CR4 3BQ
Development: Erection of a part single storey, part two storey rear and side extension
Recommendation: Refuse (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 2nd September 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **19/P0893**
Site: 54 Marryat Road, Wimbledon Village SW19 5BD
Development: Demolition of hosue and erection of new two storey detached dwellinghouse with basement level and rooms in roofspace
Recommendation: Non-Determined
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 29th August 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

[Link to Costs Decision](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P2256**
Site: 33 Graham Road, Mitcham, CR4 2HB
Development: Erection of two storey side and rear extension and rear roof extension to create 5 x self-contained flats
Recommendation: Refuse (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 26th June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P3379**
Site: 3 Aberconway Road, Morden SM4 5LN
Development: Retention of existing side extension
Recommendation: Refuse (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 13th September 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P3788**
Site: 26 Toynbee Road, Wimbledon Chase SW20 8SS
Development: Erection of part single, part two storey side and rear extension, a rear roof extension and front porch.
Recommendation: Refuse (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 19th August 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

[Link to Costs Decision](#)

Application Numbers: **19/P0129**
Site: 33 Graham Road, Mitcham CR4 2HB
Development: Division of single dwellinghouse into 4 x self-contained flats, involving erection of a two-storey side and rear extension
Recommendation: Refuse (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 23rd August 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **19/P0437**
Site: 54 Lewis Road, Mitcham CR4 3DE
Development: Demolition of side extension and erection of dwellinghouse
Recommendation: Refuse (Committee Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 15th August 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **19/P0920**
Site: 6 Worple Avenue, Wimbledon SW19 4JQ
Development: Erection of a front roof extension
Recommendation: Refuse (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 9th September 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Alternative options

3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow

necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is redetermined.

3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -

1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

1 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

- 1.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

2 TIMETABLE

- 2.1. N/A

3 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

4 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

5 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1. None for the purposes of this report.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

7 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1. See 6.1 above.

8 BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.